UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT OLSZEWSKI, et al.,	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV 08 3657 HRL
v. SYMYX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and ELSEVIER, INC.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confe following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and	
The parties agree to participate in the following AD	DR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process)	es and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadling referring the case to an ADR process)	line is 90 days from the date of the order as unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	0-110
Dated: July 9 , 2009	Attorney for Plaintiffs /
Dated: July 9, 2009	Attorney for Defendants

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the captic Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	ned matter is hereby referred to:
Dead	line for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order.	•
	other	
IT IS SO OR	DERED.	()
Dated: July	y 13, 2009	
		UN TED STAT S MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		HOWARD R. LLOYD